2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### WESTERN DIVISION

TODD R. G. HILL, et al,

**Plaintiffs** 

VS.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, **OFFICERS AND AGENTS AND** INDIVIDUALS OF THE PEOPLES COLLEGE OF LAW, et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-cv-01298-JLS-BFM

The Hon. Josephine L. Staton Courtroom 8A, 8th Floor

Magistrate Judge Brianna Fuller Mircheff Courtroom 780, 7th Floor

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION AND REQUEST TO STRIKE DOCKET 262 AS **UNAUTHORIZED POST-DISMISSAL FILING** 

NO ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION AND REQUEST TO STRIKE DOCKET 262 AS UNAUTHORIZED POST-DISMISSAL FILING

# 4 5

#### 6 7

# 8

### 10

#### 11 12

## 13

## 14

### 15 16

## 17

### 18

### 19

#### 20

# 2122

# 2324

## 25

## 26

2728

# PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION AND REQUEST TO STRIKE DOCKET 262 AS UNAUTHORIZED POST-DISMISSAL FILING

#### TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND ALL PARTIES OF RECORD:

Plaintiff respectfully objects to Docket 262, filed April 11, 2025, by counsel for the State Bar of California and its individual officers, all of whom were dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the Court's prior ruling (Docket 248). As former defendants no longer subject to this litigation, these individuals lack standing to oppose Plaintiff's post-judgment motion or to participate in subsequent motion practice absent reinstatement or leave of Court. See cf. Local Rule 7-10 (requiring leave for post-reply filings even by active parties). Docket 262 is therefore procedurally improper and constitutes an unauthorized attempt to influence the outcome of Plaintiff's Rule 59(e) motion. Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court strike Docket 262 in its entirety or, in the alternative, confirm on the record that no filing from a dismissed party will be considered unless the Court grants leave. This objection is made to preserve procedural integrity and ensure equal application of post-judgment limitations to all parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 12, 2025



Todd R. G. Hill Plaintiff, Pro Se

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION AND REQUEST TO STRIKE DOCKET 262 AS UNAUTHORIZED POST-DISMISSAL FILING

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULE 11-6.1

The undersigned party certifies that this brief contains 155 words, which complies with the 7,000word limit of L.R. 11-6.1.

Respectfully submitted,



May 12, 2025 Todd R.G. Hill Plaintiff, in Propria Persona

#### Plaintiff's Proof of Service

This section confirms that all necessary documents will be properly served pursuant to L.R. 5-3.2.1 Service. This document will be/has been electronically filed. The electronic filing of a document causes a "Notice of Electronic Filing" ("NEF") to be automatically generated by the CM/ECF System and sent by e-mail to: (1) all attorneys who have appeared in the case in this Court and (2) all pro se parties who have been granted leave to file documents electronically in the case pursuant to L.R. 5-4.1.1 or who have appeared in the case and are registered to receive service through the CM/ECF System pursuant to L.R. 5-3.2.2. Unless service is governed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 or L.R. 79-5.3, service with this electronic NEF will constitute service pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the NEF itself will constitute proof of service for individuals so served. Respectfully submitted,

#### PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION AND REQUEST TO STRIKE DOCKET 262 AS UNAUTHORIZED POST-**DISMISSAL FILING**



May 12, 2025

Todd R.G. Hill
Plaintiff, in Propria Persona

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION AND REQUEST TO STRIKE DOCKET 262 AS UNAUTHORIZED POST-DISMISSAL FILING